FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

Preamble

Section 2108(a) of the Act provides that the State and Territories *must assess the operation of the State child health plan in each Federal fiscal year, and report to the Secretary, by January 1 following the end of the Federal fiscal year, on the results of the assessment. In addition, this section of the Act provides that the State must assess the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered, low-income children. The State is out of compliance with SCHIP statute and regulations if the report is not submitted by January 1. The State is also out of compliance if any section of this report relevant to the State's program is incomplete.

To assist States in complying with the statute, the National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP), with funding from the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, has coordinated an effort with States and CMS over the years to design and revise this Annual Report Template. Over time, the framework has been updated to reflect program maturation and corrected where difficulties with reporting have been identified.

The framework is designed to:

- **A.** Recognize the *diversity* of State approaches to SCHIP and allow States *flexibility* to highlight key accomplishments and progress of their SCHIP programs, **AND**
- B. Provide consistency across States in the structure, content, and format of the report, AND
- C. Build on data already collected by CMS quarterly enrollment and expenditure reports, AND
- D. Enhance accessibility of information to stakeholders on the achievements under Title XXI.

^{* -} When "State" is referenced throughout this template, "State" is defined as either a state or a territory.

FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

DO NOT CERTIFY YOUR REPORT UNTIL ALL SECTIONS ARE COMPLETE.

State/Territ	tory:				KS		
	(Name of State/Territory)						
The followi 2108(a)).	ng Annual Re	port is submitted	d in compl	liance with	Title XXI of the So	ocial Securit	y Act (Section
Signature:							
		Andrew Allis	son, PhD,	, Kansas I	Medicaid Director	•	
SCHIP Pro	gram Name(s	s): All, Kans	sas				
SCHIP Pro	gram Type: 	SCHIP Me Separate C Combination	Child Heal	th Progran			
Reporting I	Period: 20	07		Note: Fede	eral Fiscal Year 2007 s	tarts 10/1/06 ar	nd ends 9/30/07.
Contact Pe	erson/Title:	Chris Englis	sh, Health	Wave 21	Program Manage	er	
Address:	Kansas Hea	alth Policy Auth	ority				
	900 SW Jac	kson, Suite 900	0				
City:	Topeka		State:	KS	Zip:	6661	2
Phone:	785-291-30	07		_ Fax:	785-296-4813		
Email:	Chris.Engli	sh@khpa.ks.gc	V				
Submission	n Date: 12	2/31/2007					

(Due to your CMS Regional Contact and Central Office Project Officer by January 1st of each year)

SECTION I: SNAPSHOT OF SCHIP PROGRAM AND CHANGES

To provide a summary at-a-glance of your SCHIP program characteristics, please provide the following information. You are encouraged to complete this table for the different SCHIP programs within your state, e.g., if you have two types of separate child health programs within your state with different eligibility rules. If you would like to make any comments on your responses, please explain in narrative below this table.

	SCH	IP Medicaid Expansion Pro	gram		Separate Child Health Program					
		* Upper % of	ned as <u>U</u>	d as <u>Up to and Including</u>						
				From	00	% of FPL conception to birth		% of FPL *		
	From	% of FPL for infants	% of FPL *	From	151	% of FPL for infants	200	% of FPL *		
Eligibility	From	% of FPL for children ages 1 through 5	% of FPL *	From	134	% of FPL for children ages 1 through 5	200	% of FPL *		
	From	% of FPL for children ages 6 through 16	% of FPL *	From	101	% of FPL for children ages 6 through 16	200	% of FPL *		
	From	% of FPL for children ages 17 and 18	% of FPL *	From	101	% of FPL for children ages 17 and 18	200	% of FPL *		
le prosumpti		I □ I No	·			No.				

Is presumptive eligibility	No	No

provided for children?	Yes, for whom and how long? [1000]	\boxtimes	For which populations (include the FPL levels) [1000] Presumptive eligibility mirrors the stair step eligibility for SCHIP members outlined in Question 1. Average number of presumptive eligibility periods granted per individual and average duration of the presumptive eligibility period [1000] There is only one presumptive eligibility determination allowed every 12 months. Members are eligible between 30 – 60 days. Brief description of your presumptive eligibility policies [1000] The Presumptive Eligibility for Children program uses specific Medicaid providers to make eligibility determinations under both Medicaid and SCHIP. Three providers are currently involved in this program. The provider makes a determination using a PE tool and the information that has been provided by the consumer. They then assist the consumer in completing the HealthWave application and gathering the necessary verification documents. All of this information is submitted together to the
			HealthWave Clearinghouse where the full application is then processed to try to provide coverage beyond the initial presumptive period.
	N/A		N/A
	No	\boxtimes	No
Is retroactive eligibility available?	Yes, for whom and how long?		Yes, for whom and how long?
	N/A		N/A
Does your State Plan		\boxtimes	No
contain authority to implement a waiting list?	Not applicable		Yes
piomone a training not:			N/A
Does your program have	No		No

a mail-in application?		Yes	8	\boxtimes	Yes		
	П	N/A			N/A		
		l			l		
Can an applicant apply for your program over the		No			No		
phone?	H	Ye:			Yes N/A		
		14//			14// (
				1	1	1	
Does your program have an application on your		No			No		
website that can be printed, completed and		Ye	S		Yes		
mailed in?		N/A			N/A		
		No			No		
		Ye	s – please check all that apply	\boxtimes	Yes	please check all that apply	
		Signature page must be printed			\boxtimes	Signature page must be printed and mailed in	
		and mailed in Family documentation must be				Family documentation must be	
Can an applicant apply for your program on-line?			mailed (i.e., income documentation)			mailed (i.e., income documentation)	
3 7 1 7 3 1 1			Electronic signature is required			Electronic signature is required	
						No Signature is required	
				r			
		N/A	1		N/A		
		1					
Does your program		No		\boxtimes		No	
require a face-to-face interview during initial		Ye	3			Yes	
application		□ N/A				N/A	
Does your program require a child to be			No	×]	No	
uninsured for a minimum amount of time prior to			Yes]	Yes	
enrollment (waiting	Specify number of months			Specify	/ numl	ber of months	
period)?						ups (including FPL levels) does	
				the per	riod of	uninsurance apply? [1000]	
				List all	exem	ptions to imposing the period of	
				uninsu			

			N/A				N/A	
					1			
Does your program	No					No		
match prospective enrollees to a database	☐ Yes					Yes		
that details private insurance status?					If yes,	what o	database?	[1000]
insurance status:		N/A					N/A	
		ı	No				No	
		•	Yes		\triangleright]	Yes	
Does your program			•	per of months				er of months 12
provide period of continuous coverage				hen a child would lose				hen a child would lose period in the box below
regardless of income changes?	eligibility during the time period in the box below				The chare de	ild's el termine ould lo	igibility wo ed to be M se eligibili	edicaid eligible. The ty if they are no not turn age 19.
		N	I/A				N/A	
			NI.			[NI .		
			No Yes			No		
	닏							
	Enrollment fee amount			-	amount			
	Premium amoun		n amount		Pre	mium a	amount	30
		Yearl	y cap			Yearly		
Does your program require premiums or an	If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below				If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below (including premium/enrollment fee amounts and include Federal poverty levels where appropriate)			
enrollment fee?				HealthWave 21 families may be required to pay a monthly premium at the following rates: 101-150%=\$0; 151-175%=\$20; 176-200%=\$30 per family. Eligibility during the 12 month continuous eligibility period is not contingent upon the payment of premium, however at the time of renewal if there is an				
					allowe		ontinue in t	e member will not be the program until the
			N/A			N/		
						-		
		No				No		
Does your program impose copayments or		Yes				Yes		
coinsurance?		N/A				N/A		

Daga		No		No
Does your program impose deductibles?		Yes		Yes
		N/A		N/A
		No		No
		Yes		Yes
Does your program require an assets test?	If Ye	s, please describe below	If Ye	s, please describe below
·				
		N/A		N/A
		No		No
		Yes	\boxtimes	Yes
	If Ye	s, please describe below	If Ye	s, please describe below
Does your program require income disregards?			and This Sociand Unea	earned and unearned income of the child his or her parents must be considered. includes wages, unemployment benefits, al Security (except SSI) and VA benefits, child support in excess of \$50/month. earned income is counted in full. Earned me is reduced by a \$200/month work ense deduction for each employed on.
		N/A		N/A
			•	
		No		No
		Yes		Yes
Is a preprinted renewal form sent prior to eligibility expiring?		We send out form to family with their information pre-completed and ask for confirmation		We send out form to family with their information precompleted and ask for confirmation
OAP.III.g.		We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances have changed		We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances have changed
		N/A		N/A
Enter any Narrative text belo	ow. [7	500]	-	
Comments on Responses in				
	ı Tabl	e:		

Is it different from the assets test in your separate child health program? If yes, please describe in the narrative section below the asset test in program.	n your	Y	es	\boxtimes	No		N/A
Are there income disregards for your Medicaid program?		Y	es		No		N/A
Are they different from the income disregards in your separate child heal program? If yes, please describe in the narrative section below the income disregards used in your separate child health program.	th	Ye	es		No		N/A
Is a joint application used for your Medicaid and separate child health program?		Y	es		No		N/A
7. Indicate what documentation is required at initial application							
Self-Declaration Documenta	tion Re ⊠ □	<u>quired</u>					
Income Citizenship Insured Status Insured Status Insured Status Insured Status							
<u>Citizenship</u> ⊠	g the re	porting p	eriod?	? Ple	ase		
Citizenship		Medicaio ansion S Program	d CHIP	? Ple		Separa Child He Progra	ealth
Citizenship		Medicaio	d CHIP	_		child He	ealth am
Citizenship	Expa	Medicaio ansion S Program	d CHIP	_	C	Child He Progra	ealth am
Citizenship	Yes	Medicaio ansion S Program	d CHIP N/A	_	Yes	Progra No Chang	ealth am le N/A
Citizenship S Insured Status S S S S S S S S S	Yes	Medicaid ansion S Program No Change	CHIP N/A	_	Yes	Progra No Chang	ealth am ne N/A
Citizenship Sample Sample	Yes	Medicaicansion S Program No Change	CHIP N/A	_	Yes	Progra No Chang	ealth am N/A □ □
Citizenship	Yes	Medicaidansion S Program No Change	N/A	_	Yes	Child He Progra	ealth am N/A □ □ □ □ □ □
Citizenship	Yes	Medicaicansion S Program No Change	SI CHIP N/A	_	Yes	No Chang	ealth am N/A
Citizenship S S Insured Status S S S S S S S S S	Yes	Medicaidansion S Program No Change	N/A	_	Yes	No Chang	ealth am N/A □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □
Citizenship	Yes	Medicaidansion S Program No Change	N/A	_	Yes	Child He Progra	ealth am N/A

Assets test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP					\boxtimes	
Income disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP					\boxtimes	
Eligibility redetermination process					\boxtimes	
Enrollment process for health plan selection				\boxtimes		
Family coverage					\boxtimes	
Outreach (e.g., decrease funds, target outreach)					\boxtimes	
Premium assistance					\boxtimes	
Prenatal Eligibility expansion					\boxtimes	
Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)				<u>. </u>		
Parents					\boxtimes	
Pregnant women					\boxtimes	
Childless adults						
Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse					\boxtimes	
Other – please specify				<u> </u>		1
					\boxtimes	
					\boxtimes	
					\boxtimes	
9. For each topic you responded yes to above, please explain the change and w	hy the	change v	vas made	e, below:		
Applicant and enrollee protections						
(e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)						
Application						
Application documentation requirements						
Benefit structure						
Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, &						

collection process)	
Crowd out policies	
·	
Delivery eveters	
Delivery system	
Eligibility determination process	
(including implementing a waiting lists or open enrollment periods)	
ornominaria portogoj	
Eligibility levels / target population	
Assets test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	
	<u></u>
Income disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	
medite disregards in Medicald and of Oorm	
Eligibility redetermination process	
Enrollment process for health plan selection	Effective January 1, 2007 Kansas entered into contracts with 2 new
	MCOs, UniCare Health Plan of Kansas and Children's Mercy
	Family Health Partners. Prior to this date, Kansas had only one
	MCO statewide. This addition necessitated change to the enrollment process. Kansas now defaults all new members to a
	plan upon eligibility determination, then sends an enrollment packet
	explaining the differences in plans and allowing the member to
	change.
Family coverage	
r army coverage	
Outreach	
Premium assistance	
Prenatal Eligibility Expansion	
Tronatal Engine Expansion	
Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)	
Parents	
Pregnant women	
Childless adults	
ormaioso addito	

Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse	
Other – please specify	
a.	
b.	
C.	

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

SECTION II: PROGRAM'S PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND PROGRESS

This section consists of three subsections that gather information on the core performance measures for the SCHIP program as well as your State's progress toward meeting its general program strategic objectives and performance goals. Section IIA captures data on the core performance measures to the extent data is available. Section IIB captures your enrollment progress as well as changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children in your State. Section IIC captures progress towards meeting your State's general strategic objectives and performance goals.

SECTION IIA: REPORTING OF CORE PERFORMANCE MEASURES

CMS is directed to examine national performance measures by the SCHIP Final Rules of January 11, 2001. To address this SCHIP directive, and to address the need for performance measurement in Medicaid, CMS, along with other Federal and State officials, developed a core set of performance measures for Medicaid and SCHIP. The group focused on well-established measures whose results could motivate agencies, providers, and health plans to improve the quality of care delivered to enrollees. After receiving comments from Medicaid and SCHIP officials on an initial list of 19 measures, the group recommended seven core measures, including four core child health measures:

Well child visits in the first 15 months of life
Well child visits in the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th years of life
Use of appropriate medications for children with asthma
Children's access to primary care practitioners

These measures are based on specifications provided by the Health Plan Employer Data and Information Set (HEDIS®). HEDIS® provides a useful framework for defining and measuring performance. However, use of HEDIS® methodology is <u>not</u> required for reporting on your measures. The HEDIS® methodology can also be modified based on the availability of data in your State.

This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of the core child health measures. Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years (to the extent that data are available). In the first and second column, data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2005 and FFY 2006) will be populated with data from previously reported data in SARTS, enter data in these columns only if changes must be made. If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2007). Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:

If you cannot provide a specific measure, please check the box that applies to your State for each performance measure as follows:

<u>Population not covered</u>: Check this box if your program does not cover the population included in the measure.

<u>Data not available</u>: Check this box if data are not available for a particular measure in your State. Please provide an explanation of why the data are currently not available.

<u>Small sample size</u>: Check this box if the sample size (i.e., denominator) for a particular measure is less than 30. If the sample size is less than 30, your State is not required to report data on the measure. However, please indicate the exact sample size in the space provided.

Other: Please specify if there is another reason why your state cannot report the measure.

Status of Data Reported:

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting, as follows:

<u>Provisional</u>: Check this box if you are reporting data for a measure, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2007.

Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2007.

<u>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report</u>: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

Measurement Specification:

For each performance measure, please indicate the measurement specification (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® technical specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other source with measurement specifications unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2007). If using HEDIS®-like specifications, please explain how HEDIS® was modified.

Data Source:

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data – administrative data (claims) (specify the kind of administrative data used), hybrid data (claims and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the data source), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). If another data source was used, please explain the source.

Definition of Population included in the Measure:

Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system). Check one box to indicate whether the data are for the SCHIP population only, or include both SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined. Also provide a definition of the numerator (such as the number of visits required for inclusion).

Note: You do not need to report data for all delivery system types. You may choose to report data for only the delivery system with the most enrollees in your program.

Year of Data:

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

Performance Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):

In this section, please report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like methodology or a methodology other than HEDIS®. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on your measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

Note: SARTS will calculate the rate if you enter the numerator and denominator. Otherwise, if you only have the rate, enter it in the rate box.

If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure (or component). The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator. Alternatively, if numerators and denominators are not available, you may calculate an "unweighted average" by taking the mean rate across health plans.

Explanation of Progress:

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2008, 2009, and 2010. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2005 through 2007), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On

the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years.

In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

NOTE: Please do not reference attachments in this table. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

MEASURE: Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?
⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	Yes
□ No	□No	⊠ No
_		_
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. Explain:
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
		Kansas contracted with 2 new MCOs. The data is not
		available until the 2009 reporting period due to the elgibility
		requirements of HEDIS.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
HEDIS 2005	HEDIS 2006	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data: 2004	Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data:
	I .	I .

	FFY 2005		FFY 2006		FFY 2007
HEDIS Performance M	easurement Data:	HEDIS Performance M	leasurement Data:	HEDIS Performance	Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS	HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS	S/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HED	IS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Percent with specified nu	mber of visits	Percent with specified nu	Percent with specified number of visits		number of visits
<u>0 visits</u>	4 visits	<u>0 visits</u>	4 visits	<u>0 visits</u>	4 visits
Numerator: 9	Numerator: 62	Numerator: 1	Numerator: 54	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator: 302	Denominator: 302	Denominator: 327	Denominator: 327	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate: 3	Rate: 20.5	Rate: 0.3	Rate: 16.5	Rate:	Rate:
1 visit	<u>5 visits</u>	1 visit	<u>5 visits</u>	1 visit	5 visits
Numerator: 9	Numerator: 75	Numerator: 11	Numerator: 81	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator: 302	Denominator: 302	Denominator: 327	Denominator: 327	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate: 3	Rate: 24.8	Rate: 3.4	Rate: 24.8	Rate:	Rate:
2 visits	6+ visits	2 visits	<u>6+ visits</u>	2 visits	6+ visits
Numerator: 10	Numerator: 117	Numerator: 10	Numerator: 143	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator: 302	Denominator: 302	Denominator: 327	Denominator: 327	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate: 3.3	Rate: 38.7	Rate: 3.1	Rate: 43.7	Rate:	Rate:
3 visits		3 visits		3 visits	
Numerator: 20		Numerator: 27		Numerator:	
Denominator: 302		Denominator: 327		Denominator:	
Rate: 6.6		Rate: 8.3		Rate:	
Additional notes on measure: The MCO had focused on outreach and education for its members. These efforts have		Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure:	
been reflected in their rep	orting with continued improvement				
Other Performance Mea	asurement Data:	Other Performance Me	asurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	
(If reporting with another	· methodology)	(If reporting with anothe	r methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	
Numerator:		Numerator:		Numerator:	
Denominator:		Denominator:		Denominator:	
Rate:		Rate:		Rate:	
Additional notes on meas	ure:	Additional notes on measure	sure:	Additional notes on me	easure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Effective January 1, 2007, Kansas contracted with two new MCOs UniCare Health Plan of Kansas and Children's Mercy Family Health Partners. Due to this change, Kansas has no data to report.

Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? Kansas is looking forward to improved quality of care for the membership as well as opportunities to streamline reporting requirements.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: 50% of the children will receive 6 or more visits, with 80% receiving 5 or more, within the first 15 months of life.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: 50% of the children will receive 6 or more visits, with 80% receiving 5 or more, within the first 15 months of life.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: 50% of the children will receive 6 or more visits, with 80% receiving 5 or more, within the first 15 months of life.

Explain how these objectives were set: Historical HEDIS results for this measure have shown 66% of children have received 5 or more visits in the first 15 months of life. Kansas feels that 80% of children should have 5 or more well child visits in the first fifteen months of life, and will work with the MCOs to meet this goal.

Other Comments on Measure:

MEASURE: Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?
⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	Yes
□No	□ No	⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30)	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
_ '		Kansas contracted with 2 new MCOs. The data is not
		available until the 2008 reporting period.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	Final. Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☑HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
	HEDIS 2005	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
	,	
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data: 2004	Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Percent with 1+ visits	Percent with 1+ visits	Percent with 1+ visits
Numerator: 2473	Numerator: 2446	Numerator:
Denominator: 3856	Denominator: 4278	Denominator:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007	
Rate: 64.1	Rate: 57.2	Rate:	
result of the MCOs educational initiative. Compared to the	Additional notes on measure: The MCO had a significant decrease in this measure compared to 2005 report. Effective January 1, 2007, this MCO will no longer serve the HealthWave 21 population.		

Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life (continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Effective January 1, 2007, Kansas contracted with two new MCOs UniCare Health Plan of Kansas and Children's Mercy Family Health Partners. Due to this change, Kansas has no data to report.

Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? Kansas is looking forward to improved quality of care for the membership as well as opportunities to streamline reporting requirements.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: 80% of children will receive well child visits during their 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th years of life.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: 80% of children will receive well child visits during their 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th years of life.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: 80% of children will receive well child visits during their 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th years of life.

Explain how these objectives were set: Kansas has a 59.06% average over 3 years for children receiving well child visits during their 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th years of life. Kansas set the goal at 80% feeling this target is attainable and will enhance the focus on preventive care.

Other Comments on Measure:

MEASURE: Use of Appropriate Medications for Children with Asthma

Did you report on this goal? Yes Yes No No No No No No No N	FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
No	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	
No	∑ Yes	⊠ Yes	Yes
F Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: Population not covered. Data not available. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Other. Explain: Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain: Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: Other. Explain: Measurement Specification: HEDIS and Specify: HeDIS shee, Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: Other. Explain: Measurement Specification: HEDIS and Specify: HeDIS shee, Specify version of HEDIS used: HEDIS shee, S	□No		
Population not covered. Data not available. Explain: Data not available. Explain: Data not available. Explain: Data not available. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other.			_
Population not covered. Data not available. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Specify: State of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Specify sample size: Other. Specify: Other.	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Data not available. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Small sample size: Other Explain: Small sam			
Snall sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Specify sample size: Specify sample size: Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Status of Data Reported: Drovisional. Provisional. Provisional. <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specification: Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specification: Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specification: Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specification: Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specification: Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specification: Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specification: Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specification: Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specification: Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specification: Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specification: Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specification: Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specification: Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measuremen			
Other. Explain:			
Status of Data Reported:			
Status of Data Reported:			
Status of Data Reported:			
Status of Data Reported:			
☐ Provisional. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported. Specify ser	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	
			Provisional
□ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: □ Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: □ Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: □ Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: □ Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: □ Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: □ Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: □ Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: □ Measurement Specification: □ HEDIS Specify yearsion of HEDIS used: □ HEDIS Specify yearsion of HEDIS used: □ Deta previously reported: □ Deta Data Sure □ Deta Data Sure □ Deta Data Sure □ Data Sure □ Data Sure □ Data Source:			Final
Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: Measurement Specification: Measurement Specify: Measurement Specify: HEDIS-Isk. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: Measurement Specification: Measurement Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: Explain how HEDIS was modified: Detroil nother Descript was modified: Detroil nother Specify: Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Specify: Administrative (claims data). Specify: Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: Survey data. Specify:			Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report
reported: reported: Measurement Specification: Measurement Specify version of HEDIS used: Measurement Specification: Measurement Specification: Measurement Specification: Measurement Specify: Measurement Specification: Measurement Specification: Measurement Specify: Measurement Specification: Measurement Specification: Measurement Specify: HEDIS like. Specify version of HEDIS used: HEDIS -like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: Despecify: Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of Population only. Definition of denominator:			
Measurement Specification: MEDIS . Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: Despecify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS used:			
☑HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Speci			
□HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: □HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: □HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS used: Explain: Other. Explain: Other. Data Source: □ Desprise □ Desprise<			
Explain how HEDIS was modified: Other. Explain: HEDIS 2005			
Other. Explain:			
HEDIS 2005 Data Source: Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Specify: Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Specify: Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: Survey data. Specify: Other. Specify: Other. Specify: Other. Specify: Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of Population Included			
Data Source: Data Source: Data Source: Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Specify: Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Specify: Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Specify: Data Source: Date of the Measure: Date of the Measure: Definition of Population Included in the Measur			
☑ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Sp		Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specif		_ *****	
Survey data. Specify: Survey data. Specify: Survey data. Specify: Survey data. Specify: Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Definition of denominator: Definition of denominator: ☑ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☑ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☑ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Definition of numerator: Definition of numerator:			
□ Other. Specify: □ Other. Specify: □ Other. Specify: □ Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Definition of denominator: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).			
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:Definition of Population Included in the Measure:Definition of Population Included in the Measure:Definition of denominator:Definition of denominator:Definition of denominator:Definition of denominator:☑ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.☑ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).□ Definition of numerator:□ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).□ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).			
Definition of denominator: ☐ Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: ☐ Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: ☐ Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: ☐ Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: ☐ Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Guier. speedy).	Guiei. speedy.	Guier. speedy.
Definition of denominator: ☐ Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: ☐ Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: ☐ Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: ☐ Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: ☐ Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
☑ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☑ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). ☐			
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:			
Definition of numerator: Definition of numerator: Definition of numerator:			
	Year of Data: 2004	Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data:

Use of Appropriate Medications for Children with Asthma (continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Percent receiving appropriate medications	Percent receiving appropriate medications	Percent receiving appropriate medications
5-9 years	5-9 years	5-9 years
Numerator: 176	Numerator: 34	Numerator:
Denominator: 225	Denominator: 36	Denominator:
Rate: 78.2	Rate: 94.4	Rate:
<u>10-17 years</u>	<u>10-17 years</u>	<u>10-17 years</u>
Numerator: 368	Numerator: 39	Numerator:
Denominator: 476	Denominator: 42	Denominator:
Rate: 77.3	Rate: 92.9	Rate:
Combined rate (5-17 years)	Combined rate (5-17 years)	Combined rate (5-17 years)
Numerator: 13	Numerator: 73	Numerator:
Denominator: 24	Denominator: 78	Denominator:
Rate: 54.2	Rate: 93.6	Rate:
Additional notes on measure: The 2005 report is the first year	Additional notes on measure: There was a large reduction in	Additional notes on measure:
of report for this measure and will be considered the baseline.	sample size with no explanation provided by the plan.	
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Effective January 1, 2007, Kansas contracted with two new MCOs UniCare Health Plan of Kansas and Children's Mercy Family Health Partners. Due to this change, Kansas has no data to report.

Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? Kansas is looking forward to improved quality of care for the membership as well as opportunities to streamline reporting requirements.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: 90% of children will use appropriate medications for asthma.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: 90% of children will use appropriate medications for asthma.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: 90% of children will use appropriate medications for asthma.

Explain how these objectives were set: Kansas has experienced an average of 73.9% of childre using the appropriate asthma medication and set the goal at 90%.

Other Comments on Measure:

MEASURE: Children's Access to Primary Care Practitioners

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007	
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	
⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	Yes	
□ No	□ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	
	_		
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.	
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. Explain:	
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	
		Kansas contracted with 2 new MCOs. The data is not	
		available until the 2008 reporting period.	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.	
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	Final.	
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	
reported:	reported:	reported:	
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	
⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	
HEDIS 2005	HEDIS 2005		
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:	
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	
	HEDIS 2005		
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	
Year of Data: 2004	Year of Data: 2004	Year of Data:	

FFY 2005			FFY 2006		FFY 2007	
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:		HEDIS Performance Me	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:		HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	
(If reporting with HEDIS/H	IEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)		(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	
Percent with a PCP visit		Percent with a PCP visit	Percent with a PCP visit			
<u>12-24 months</u>	<u>7-11 years</u>	<u>12-24 months</u>	<u>7-11 years</u>	<u>12-24 months</u>	<u>7-11 years</u>	
Numerator: 597	Numerator: 3892	Numerator: 674	Numerator: 4635	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator: 611	Denominator: 4219	Denominator: 705	Denominator: 5109	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate: 97.7	Rate: 92.2	Rate: 95.6	Rate: 90.7	Rate:	Rate:	
25 months-6 years	12-19 years	25 months-6 years	12-19 years	25 months-6 years	12-19 years	
Numerator: 4289	Numerator: 4569	Numerator: 4574	Numerator: 5334	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator: 4722	Denominator: 4949	Denominator: 5216	Denominator: 5950	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate: 90.8	Rate: 92.3	Rate: 87.7	Rate: 89.6	Rate:	Rate:	
Additional notes on measure:		experienced significant de	Additional notes on measure: In 2005 the MCO members experienced significant decreases in access to primary care as compared to the two previous years.		ure:	
Other Performance Meas	urement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:		Other Performance Measurement Data:		
(If reporting with another methodology)		(If reporting with another	(If reporting with another methodology)		(If reporting with another methodology)	
Numerator:		Numerator:	Numerator:		Numerator:	
Denominator:		Denominator:	Denominator:		Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:			Rate:		
Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measu	ire:	Additional notes on measure:		

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Effective January 1, 2007, Kansas contracted with two new MCOs UniCare Health Plan of Kansas and Children's Mercy Family Health Partners. Due to this change, Kansas has no data to report.

Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? Kansas is looking forward to improved quality of care for the membership as well as opportunities to streamline reporting requirements.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: 95% of children will have access to primary care.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: 98% of children will have access to primary care.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: 98% of children will have access to primary care.

Explain how these objectives were set: Kansas has averaged 90.73% for this HEDIS measure. Kansas has set the 2008 HEDIS measure at 95% following the first year of MCO experience, and 98% for all subsequent years of the contract.

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007		
Other Comments on Measure:				

SECTION IIB: ENROLLMENT AND UNINSURED DATA

The information in the table below is the Unduplicated Number of Children Ever Enrolled in SCHIP in your State for the two most recent reporting periods. The enrollment numbers reported below should correspond to line 7 in your State's 4th quarter data report (submitted in October) in the SCHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). The percent change column reflects the percent change in enrollment over the two-year period. If the percent change exceeds 10 percent (increase or decrease), please explain in letter A below any factors that may account for these changes (such as decreases due to elimination of outreach or increases due to program expansions). This information will be filled in automatically by SARTS through a link to SEDS. Please wait until you have an enrollment number from SEDS before you complete this response.

Program	FFY 2006	FFY 2007	Percent change FFY 2006-2007
SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Program	0	0	
Separate Child Health Program	48934	49536	1.23

Please explain any factors that may account for enrollment increases or decreases exceeding 10 percent.

The table below shows trends in the three-year averages for the number and rate of uninsured children in your State based on the Current Population Survey (CPS), along with the percent change between 1996-1998 and 2004-2006. Significant changes are denoted with an asterisk (*). If your state uses an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children, please explain in Question #3. SARTS will fill in this information automatically, but in the meantime, please refer to the CPS data attachment that was sent with the FFY 2007 Annual Report Template.

	Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty		Below 200 Pe	ildren Under Age 19 rcent of Poverty as a Children Under Age 19
Period	Number	Std. Error	Rate	Std. Error
1996 - 1998	42	10.8	5.5	1.4
1998 - 2000	50	11.9	7.0	1.6
2000 - 2002	39	7.1	5.5	1.0
2002 - 2004	38	7.1	5.2	.9
2003 - 2005	34	7.9	4.8	1.1
2004 - 2006	33	8.0	4.6	1.1
Percent change	-21.4%	NA	-16.4%	NA

1996-1998 vs.		
2004-2006		

Please explain any activities or factors that may account for increases or decreases in your number and/or rate of uninsured children.

Kansas has reported a decline in the growth rate of HealthWave 21. In July 2006, Kansas instituted the citizenship and identity verifications required under the Deficit Reduction Act (DRA). These requirements had an immediate negative impact on newly eligible beneficiaries as well as those reapplying upon their review date. This was reflected in the loss 3,000 Title XXI members from June – October of 2006. Kansas utilizes a highly efficient centralized eligibility process in which both Title XIX and XXI are processed at the same time. Although the citizenship and identity requirements were removed from Title XXI in November 20, 2006, they remained for Title XIX. This connection between the two programs created a "spill over" effect through most of 2007 which slowed growth. Kansas recently returned to the enrollment level of 37,500 seen June 2006 for Title XXI.

Please note any comments here concerning CPS data limitations that may affect the reliability or precision of these estimates.

During a review of CPS data, Kansas discovered a growth in CPS counts of publicly insured children that is five times higher than real life. What was also present in the data is that it appears CPS data has yet to catch up with actual public coverage, falling from about a one-third undercount in 2003 to a one-sixth undercount in 2006. Kansas suspects these undercounted children were instead in the private, rather than uninsured category, but we cannot be certain.

Please indicate by checking	the box belov	v whether you	r State ha	as an	alternate	data	source	and/or
methodology for measuring the cl	nange in the n	umber and/or	rate of un	insure	ed childrer	٦.		

Yes (please report your data in the table below)
☑ No (skip to Question #4)

Please report your alternate data in the table below. Data are required for two or more points in time to demonstrate change (or lack of change). Please be as specific and detailed as possible about the method used to measure progress toward covering the uninsured.

Data source(s)	
Reporting period (2 or more	
points in time)	
Methodology	
Population (Please include ages	
and income levels)	
Sample sizes	
Number and/or rate for two or	
more points in time	
Statistical significance of results	

Please explain why your State chose to adopt a different methodology to measure changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

What is your State's assessment of the reliability of the estimate? What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology? (Provide a numerical range or confidence intervals if available.)

What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology?

How does your State use this alternate data source in SCHIP program planning?

How many children do you estimate have been enrolled in Medicaid as a result of SCHIP outreach activities and enrollment simplification? Describe the data source and method used to derive this information

Kansas could estimate this with more precision early in the program, but due to a change in fiscal agents, this can no longer be done. Based on what was shown during the 1999 to 2003 period, an estimate of three times the number of the point in time enrollment in SCHIP could be attributed to enrollment in Medicaid due to previous outreach and enrollment simplification. The earlier estimates were possible because the prior fiscal agent was also the application clearinghouse. Systems were in place to track the application from receipt through eligibility to enrollment in a service delivery plan. This is no longer an option.

SECTION IIC: STATE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE GOALS

This subsection gathers information on your State's general strategic objectives, performance goals, performance measures and progress towards meeting goals, as specified in your SCHIP State Plan. (If Section 9 of your SCHIP State Plan has changed, please indicate when it changed, and how the goals and objectives in Section 9 of your State Plan and the goals reported in this section of the annual report are different. Also, the state plan should be amended to reconcile these differences). The format of this section provides your State with an opportunity to track progress over time. This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of five categories of strategic objectives, related to:

Reducing the number of uninsured children

SCHIP enrollment

Medicaid enrollment

Increasing access to care

Use of preventative care (immunizations, well child care)

Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years for which data are available (to the extent that data are available). In the first two columns, report data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2005 and FFY 2006) will be populated with data from previously reported data in SARTS, enter data in these columns only if changes must be made. If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2007).

Note that the term *performance measure* is used differently in Section IIA versus IIC. In Section IIA, the term refers to the four core child health measures. In this section, the term is used more broadly, to refer to any data your State provides as evidence towards a particular goal within a strategic objective. For the purpose of this section, "objectives" refer to the five broad categories listed above, while "goals" are State-specific, and should be listed in the appropriate subsections within the space provided for each objective.

NOTES: Please do not reference attachments in this section. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

In addition, please do not report the same data that were reported in Sections IIA or IIB. The intent of this section is to capture goals and measures that your State did not_report elsewhere in Section II.

Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

Goal:

For each objective, space has been provided to report up to three goals. Use this section to provide a brief description of each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective. All new goals should include a direction and a target. For clarification only, an <u>example</u> goal would be: "Increase (direction) by 5 percent (target) the number of SCHIP beneficiaries who turned 13 years old during the measurement year who had a second dose of MMR, three hepatitis B vaccinations and one varicella vaccination by their 13th birthday."

Type of Goal:

For each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective, please indicate the type of goal, as follows:

<u>New/revised:</u> Check this box if you have revised or added a goal. Please explain how and why the goal was revised.

<u>Continuing:</u> Check this box if the goal you are reporting is the same one you have reported in previous annual reports.

<u>Discontinued:</u> Check this box if you have met your goal and/or are discontinuing a goal. Please explain why the goal was discontinued.

Status of Data Reported:

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting for each goal, as follows:

<u>Provisional:</u> Check this box if you are reporting performance measure data for a goal, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2007.

Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2007.

<u>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report:</u> Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported for the goal in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

Measurement Specification:

This section is included for only two of the objectives— objectives related to increasing access to care, and objectives related to use of preventative care—because these are the two objectives for which States may report using the HEDIS® measurement specification. In this section, for each goal, please indicate the measurement specification used to calculate your performance measure data (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other method unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2007). If using HEDIS®-like specifications, please explain how HEDIS® was modified.

Data Source:

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data. The categories provided in this section vary by objective. For the objectives related to reducing the number of uninsured children and SCHIP or Medicaid enrollment, please indicate whether you have used eligibility/enrollment data, survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). For the objectives related to access to care and use of preventative care, please indicate whether you used administrative data (claims) (specify the kind of administrative data used), hybrid data (claims and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the data source), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). In all cases, if another data source was used, please explain the source.

Definition of Population Included in Measure:

Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system). Also provide a definition of the numerator (such as the number of visits required for inclusion, e.g., one or more visits in the past year).

For measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, please also check one box to indicate whether the data are for the SCHIP population only, or include both SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.

Year of Data:

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which enrollment or utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to enrollment or utilization of services.

Performance Measurement Data:

<u>Describe what is being measured</u>: Please provide a brief explanation of the information you intend to capture through the performance measure.

Numerator, Denominator, and Rate: Please report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). For the objectives related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, the template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like methodology or a methodology other than HEDIS®. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on your measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure (or component). The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator. Alternatively, if numerators and denominators are not available, you may calculate an "unweighted average" by taking the mean rate across health plans.

Explanation of Progress:

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2008, 2009, and 2010. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2005 through 2007), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years. In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007		
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)		
Enrollment in HealthWave 21 continues to increase by 4,000	Enrollment in HealthWave 21 continues to increase by 4,000	Enrollment in HealthWave 21 continues to increase by 4,000		
to 5,000 children per federal fiscal year until enrollment	to 5,000 children per federal fiscal year until enrollment	to 5,000 children per federal fiscal year until enrollment		
reaches 40,000.	reaches 40,000.	reaches 40,000.		
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:		
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:		
Continuing.	Continuing.	○ Continuing.		
Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :		
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:		
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.		
Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.		
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. □	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously		
reported: 2005	reported:	reported:		
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:		
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data			
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :		
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:		
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:		
Definition of denominator: The final number of eligible	Definition of denominator: The final number of eligible	Definition of denominator: The final number of eligible		
members in September 2004 (33,578)	members in September 2005 (35712)	members in September 2006 (36,113)		
Definition of numerator: Growth during 2005 (2,134	Definition of numerator: Growth during 2006 (401 members)	Definition of numerator: Growth during 2007 (507) Growth		
members) Growth was established by subtracting September	Growth was established by subtracting September 2005 from	was established by subtracting September 2006 from Sept		
2004 from September 2005 (35,712 - 33,578 = 2,134).	September 2006 (36,113 - 35,712 = 401).	2007 (36,620 – 36,113=507)		
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007		
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:		
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:		
The percentage of growth from 2004 to 2005.				
Numerator: 2134	Numerator: 401	Numerator: 507		
Denominator: 33578	Denominator: 35712	Denominator: 36113		
Rate: 6.4	Rate: 1.1	Rate: 1.4		
Additional notes on measure: Growth continues to decrease	Additional notes on measure: During 7/06 Kansas began	Additional notes on measure:		
as the State gets closer to the stated enrollment goal of 40,000	processing eligibility using the citizenship and identity			
members. Outreach staff across the state increased their	criteria outlined in the DRA. Eligibility from 6/06-10/06			
efforts with school enrollments this year. A slight increase in	changed from 37,631 to 34,685 a reduction of 2,946. If you			
growth rate is expected during the next reporting period.	adjust this measure using June data, $(37,631 - 35,712 = 1,919)$			
	1,919/35712 = 5.37), Kansas experienced a 5.4% growth.			

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007		
	Due to the negative impact to enrollment of SCHIP members,			
	this requirement was removed 11/20/2006. This goal will be			
	reviewed during 2007 to determine if adjustment is			
	necessary.			
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:		
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report? There was a substantial reduction in growth (-5.3%) from the 2005 growth rate of 6.4% to the 2006 rate of 1.1%. Kansas feels this is directly attributed to the negative impact of the DRA requirements of Citizenship and identity. Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? No, however Kansas is considering to resume marketing and outreach efforts in the near future.	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Kansas experienced a nominal increase in growth (+.03%) compared to the 2006 growth rate of 1.1%. Kansas feels this was a continuing effect of the DRA coupled with the Kansas response to suspend marketing and outreach efforts. Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? Kansas has made great strides overcoming the backlog created by the citizenship and identity requirements. Coupled with a		
	the new rature.	renewed interest in marketing and outreach, Kansas feels 2008 should reflect a more positive growth pattern.		
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Continued growth of the HealthWave Population by at least 10%. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Continued growth of the HealthWave Population by at least 10%. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Continued growth of the HealthWave Population by at least 10%.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Continued growth of the HealthWave Population by at least 10%. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Continued growth of the HealthWave Population by at least 10%. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Continued growth of the HealthWave Population by at least 10%.		
	Explain how these objectives were set: This objective was developed at the onset of HealthWave 21 in Kansas. When the goal of 40,000 members is reached they will be reviewed.	Explain how these objectives were set: This objective was developed at the onset of HealthWave 21 in Kansas. When the goal of 40,000 members is reached they will be reviewed.		
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
N/A There is only one goal for this objective.	N/A There is only one goal for this objective.	N/A There is only one goal for this objective.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Donalstian Instrudy distribution Magazine.	Definition of Donaletian Included in the Measures	Definition of Donalstian Instruded in the Macanas
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of denominator.	Definition of denominator.	Definition of denominator.
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	H - 11	TT 121
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the
	2005 Annual Report?	Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report?
	2005 Annual Report:	2000 Annuai Keport:
	Are there any quality improvement activities that	Are there any quality improvement activities that
	contribute to your progress?	contribute to your progress?
	Committee to Jour Progress;	comminged to Jour brogress.
	1	I .

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007		
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:		
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:		
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:		
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:		
	7	<i>T y</i>		
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:		

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
N/A There is only one goal for this objective.	N/A There is only one goal for this objective.	N/A There is only one goal for this objective.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of Population included in the Measure.	Definition of 1 optilation included in the Measure.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure.
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
N	N	N
Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator: Denominator:
		Rate:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
ridditolial listes on liteasare.	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	Zarpananion of Frogressi	2P
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the
	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	2005 Annual Report?	2006 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that	Are there any quality improvement activities that
	contribute to your progress?	contribute to your progress?
	continue to your progress:	continue to your progress:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Children that are identified as Title V children receive	Children that are identified as Title V children receive	Children that are identified as Title V children receive
specialty care.	specialty care.	specialty care.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
☑ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:
		MCOs that contract with Kansas to provide SCHIP services
		are required to complete a CAHPS survey. A CAHPS survey
		was not competed in 2007 as two new MCOs began Jan
		2007. This data will once again be available in 2008.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported: 2005	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
HealthWave 21 MCOs are required to complete a CAHPS	HealthWave 21 MCOs are required to complete a CAHPS	MCOs that contract with Kansas to provide SCHIP services
survey specifically for the Children with Special Health Care	survey specifically for the Children with Special Health Care	are required to complete a CAHPS survey. A CAHPS survey
Needs (CSHCN) population.	Needs (CSHCN) population.	was not competed in 2007 as two new MCOs began Jan
		2007. This data will once again be available in 2008.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
The CAHPS ratings of Personal Doctor, Specialist, Health	The CAHPS ratings of Personal Doctor, Specialist, Health	
Care, and Health Plan are compared to baseline scores to	Care, and Health Plan are compared to baseline scores to	
assess if the plan is within an acceptable range and/or making	assess if the plan is within an acceptable range and/or making	Numerator:
improvement.	improvement.	Denominator:
		Rate:
Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Additional notes on measure:
Rate:	Rate:	
Additional notes on measure: 2005 Rating:	Additional notes on measure: 2006 Rating:	
Rating of Personal Doctor: 81%	Rating of Personal Doctor:63%	
Rating of Specialist: 78%	Rating of Specialist:58%	
Rating of Health Care: 83%	Rating of Health Care:69%	
Rating of Health Plan: 81%	Rating of Health Plan:68%	
	Comparison of 2006 - 2003 & 2006 - 2005	
Comparison of 2005 - 2003	Rating of Personal Doctor: $63\% - 62\% = +1\%$	
Rating of Personal Doctor: 81% - 62% = +19%	Rating of Personal Doctor:63% - 81% = -18%	
Rating of Specialist: $78\% - 55\% = +23\%$	Rating of Specialist: $58\% - 55\% = +3\%$	
Rating of Health Care: $83\% - 65\% = +18\%$	Rating of Specialist:58% - 76% = -18%	
Rating of Health Plan: $81\% - 63\% = +18\%$	Rating of Health Care:69% - 65% = +4%	
	Rating of Health Care:69% - 83% = -14%	
	Rating of Health Plan: $68\% - 63\% = +5\%$	
	Rating of Health Plan:68% - 81% = -13%	
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the
	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	2005 Annual Report? As seen below, there was a great	2005 Annual Report? MCOs that contract with Kansas
	reduction in the member satisfaction from 2005 - 2006.	to provide SCHIP services are required to complete a
	Effective 1/1/2007, this contractor no longer provides	CAHPS survey. A CAHPS survey was not competed in
	services to Kansas SCHIP members.	2007 as two new MCOs began Jan 2007. This data will
	Rating of Personal Doctor:63% - 81% = -18%	once again be available in 2008.
	Rating of Specialist:58% - 76% = -18%	once again be available in 2000.
	Rating of Specialist. 36% - 76% = -16% Rating of Health Care: 69% - 83% = -14%	
	Rating of Health Plan:68% - 81% = -13%	
	-	
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? No.	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? MCOs that contract with
		Kansas develop their quality management plan around this intention. The MCOs efforts are directly reflected
		in CAHPS scores.

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: The	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: The
	state of Kansas has contracted with two new MCOs to	Performance Objective of KHPA for the MCOs is the
	provide care to Kansas members. The current MCO will	National Child Average Rating plus 5% for the ratings
	no longer serve HealthWave 21 members and will not	of: Personal Doctor, Rating of Specialist, Rating of
	provide CAHPS data in 2007. For this reason, Kansas will not be able to report CAHPS data in 2007. CAHPS	Health Care, and Rating of Health Plan.
	Measurement objectives will be negotiated with the two	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: The Performance Objective of KHPA for the MCOs is the
	new MCOs by June 2007 and our objectives will be	National Child Average Rating plus 5% for the ratings
	shared in the 2007 annual report.	of: Personal Doctor, Rating of Specialist, Rating of
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: The	Health Care, and Rating of Health Plan.
	state of Kansas has contracted with two new MCOs to	
	provide care to Kansas members. The current MCO will	
	no longer serve HealthWave 21 members and will not	
	provide CAHPS data in 2007. For this reason, Kansas	
	will not be able to report CAHPS data in 2007. CAHPS	
	Measurement objectives will be negotiated with the two	
	new MCOs by June 2007 and our objectives will be	
	shared in the 2007 annual report. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: The	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: The
	state of Kansas has contracted with two new MCOs to	Performance Objective of KHPA for the MCOs is the
	provide care to Kansas members. The current MCO will	National Child Average Rating plus 5% for the ratings
	no longer serve HealthWave 21 members and will not	of: Personal Doctor, Rating of Specialist, Rating of
	provide CAHPS data in 2007. For this reason, Kansas	Health Care, and Rating of Health Plan.
	will not be able to report CAHPS data in 2007. CAHPS	, ,
	Measurement objectives will be negotiated with the two	
	new MCOs by June 2007 and our objectives will be	Explain how these objectives were set: KHPA strove to
	shared in the 2007 annual report.	identify an objective that would reflect the satisfaction
		of membership compared to the landscape of medical
	Explain how these objectives were set: The state of	care at a national level. KHPA chose to use National
	Kansas has contracted with two new MCOs to provide	benchmark data, and reach for significant positive
	care to Kansas members. The current MCO will no	deviation from the national average.
	longer serve HealthWave 21 members and will not provide CAHPS data in 2007. For this reason, Kansas	
	will not be able to report CAHPS data in 2007. CAHPS	
	Measurement objectives will be negotiated with the two	
	new MCOs by June 2007 and our objectives will be	
	shared in the 2007 annual report.	
Other Comments on Measure: The MCO has placed great	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:
emphasis on member satisfaction, and produced outstanding		
member satisfaction scores for this population. The MCOs		
focus on quality of care provided, as well as customer service		
to both consumers and providers, created a positive		
environment for members.		

Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Annually, a minimum of 80% of children enrolled in SCHIP	Annually, a minimum of 80% of children enrolled in SCHIP	Annually, a minimum of 80% of children enrolled in SCHIP
report overall satisfaction with their health plan.	report overall satisfaction with their health plan.	report overall satisfaction with their health plan.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:
		MCOs that contract with Kansas to provide SCHIP services
		are required to complete a CAHPS survey. A CAHPS survey
		was not competed in 2007 as two new MCOs began Jan
		2007. This data will once again be available in 2008.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported: 2005	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:
CAHPS Survey completed in 2005	HealthWave 21 MCOs are required to complete a CAHPS	MCOs that contract with Kansas to provide SCHIP services
	survey.	are required to complete a CAHPS survey. A CAHPS survey
		was not competed in 2007 as two new MCOs began Jan
		2007. This data will once again be available in 2008.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
The CAHPS ratings of Personal Doctor, Specialist, Health	The CAHPS ratings of Personal Doctor, Specialist, Health	-
Care, and Health Plan are compared to baseline scores to	Care, and Health Plan are compared to baseline scores to	
assess if the plan is within an acceptable range and/or making	assess if the plan is within an acceptable range and/or making	Numerator:
improvement.	improvement.	Denominator:
		Rate:
Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Additional notes on measure:
Rate:	Rate:	
20075		
Additional notes on measure: 2005 Rating	Additional notes on measure: 2006 Rating:	
Rating of Personal Doctor: 82%	Rating of Personal Doctor:62%	
Rating of Specialist: 76%	Rating of Specialist:62%	
Rating of Health Care: 86%	Rating of Health Care:70%	
Rating of Health Plan: 85%	Rating of Health Plan:70%	
C	Comparison of 2006 - 2003 & 2006 - 2005 Rating of Personal Doctor:62% - 62% = 0%	
Comparison of 2005 to 2003 data: Rating of Personal Doctor: $82\% - 61\% = +21\%$	Rating of Personal Doctor:62% - 62% = 0% Rating of Personal Doctor:62% - 81% = -19%	
Rating of Personal Doctor: $82\% - 61\% = +21\%$ Rating of Specialist: $76\% - 53\% = +23\%$	Rating of Personal Doctor.62% - 81% = -19% Rating of Specialist:62% - 55% = +7%	
Rating of Specialist: $70\% - 53\% = +25\%$ Rating of Health Care: $86\% - 65\% = +21\%$	Rating of Specialist:62% - 55% = +7% Rating of Specialist:62% - 76% = -14%	
Rating of Health Care. $80\% - 63\% = +21\%$ Rating of Health Plan: $85\% - 63\% = +22\%$	Rating of Health Care:70% - 65% = +5%	
Rating of Health Flatt. 83% - 03% = +22%	Rating of Health Care:70% - 63% = +3% Rating of Health Care:70% - 83% = -13%	
	Rating of Health Plan: $70\% - 63\% = -13\%$ Rating of Health Plan: $70\% - 63\% = +7\%$	
	Rating of Health Plan: 70% - 81% = -11%	
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
		Zapananvon or r rogresso
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the
	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	2005 Annual Report?	2005 Annual Report? MCOs that contract with Kansas
	•	to provide SCHIP services are required to complete a
		CAHPS survey. A CAHPS survey was not competed in
		2007 as two new MCOs began Jan 2007. This data will
		once again be available in 2008.
	Are there any quality improvement activities that	Are there any quality improvement activities that
	contribute to your progress?	contribute to your progress? MCOs that contract with
		Kansas develop their quality management plan around
		this intention. The MCOs efforts are directly reflected
		in CAHPS scores.

Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment (Continued)

Goal #3 (Describe) 80% of enrolled children receive one or more Early and Periodic Screening Diagnostic and Treatment (EPSDT) services. Type of Goal: Goal #3 (Describe) 80% of enrolled children receive one or more Early and Periodic Screening Diagnostic and Treatment (EPSDT) periodic Screening Diagnostic and Treatment (EPSDT) Services. Type of Goal: Type of Goal:
Periodic Screening Diagnostic and Treatment (EPSDT) services. Periodic Screening Diagnostic and Treatment (EPSDT) services. Periodic Screening Diagnostic and Treatment (EPSDT) services. Type of Goal: Type of Goal: Type of Goal:
services.services.services.Type of Goal:Type of Goal:Type of Goal:
Type of Goal: Type of Goal: Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain: New/revised. Explain: New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing. ☐ Continuing. ☐ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. Explain: ☐ Discontinued. Explain: ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
MCOs that contract with Kansas are required to report annu
EPSDT data in the CMS 416 report format. Due to the
requirement, the two new MCOs that began provide
services Jan 1, 2007 have not had enough Kansas experien
to accurately reflect a rate for 2007.
Status of Data Reported: Status of Data Reported: Status of Data Reported:
Provisional. Provisional. Provisional.
☐ Final. ☐ Final. ☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously Specify year of annual report in which data previously Specify year of annual report in which data previous
reported: 2005 reported: 2005 reported:
Data Source: Data Source: Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
□ Survey data. Specify: □ Survey data. Specify: □ Survey data. Specify:
The MCO reports EPSDT rates using the HCFA 416 The MCO reports EPSDT rates using the HCFA 416
reporting logic reporting logic
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: Number of eligible members birth Definition of denominator: Number of eligible members birth Definition of denominator:
thru 18 years of age. thru 18 years of age.
Definition of numerator:
Definition of numerator: Number of eligible members Definition of numerator: Number of eligible members
receiving EPSDT screens birth thru 18 years of age. receiving EPSDT screens birth thru 18 years of age.
Year of Data: 2004 Year of Data: 2005 Year of Data:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
All 21 members receiving a EPSDT screen during 2004	All 21 members receiving a EPSDT screen during 2003	
Numerator: 14769	Numerator: 15141	Numerator:
Denominator: 25461	Denominator: 27828	Denominator:
Rate: 58	Rate: 54.4	Rate:
Additional notes on measure: The MCO increased outreach efforts to its members focusing on preventive health and made progress toward the stated goal of 80%.	Additional notes on measure: The MCO had a reduction in screening rate of 3.6% from 2005 - 2006.	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report? MCOs that contract with Kansas are required to report annual EPSDT data in the CMS 416 report format. Due to this requirement, the two new MCOs that began provided services Jan 1, 2007 have not had enough Kansas experience to accurately reflect a rate for 2007.
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? UniCare Health Plan and Children's Mercy Family Health Partners, the SCHIP MCOs, are both dedicated to provide excellent care to their membership and are committed to promoting wellness and prevention.
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: The	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: MCO
	state of Kansas has contracted with two new MCOs to	EPSDT reporting should reflect a participation level of
	provide care to Kansas members. The current MCO	80%.
	will no longer serve HealthWave 21 members and will not provide 416 report in 2007. For this reason,	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: MCO EPSDT reporting should reflect a participation level of
	Kansas will not be able to report EPSDT data in 2007.	80%.
	EPSDT Measurement objectives will be negotiated	3070.
	with the two new MCOs by June 2007 and our	
	objectives will be shared in the 2007 annual report.	
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: The	
	state of Kansas has contracted with two new MCOs to	
	provide care to Kansas members. The current MCO	
	will no longer serve HealthWave 21 members and will	
	not provide 416 report in 2007. For this reason, Kansas will not be able to report EPSDT data in 2007. EPSDT	
	Measurement objectives will be negotiated with the	
	two new MCOs by June 2007 and our objectives will	
	be shared in the 2007 annual report.	
I	1 00 bilates in the 2007 aimital report.	l

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: The	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: MCO
	state of Kansas has contracted with two new MCOs to	EPSDT reporting should reflect a participation level of
	provide care to Kansas members. The current MCO	80%.
	will no longer serve HealthWave 21 members and will	
	not provide 416 report in 2007. For this reason, Kansas	Explain how these objectives were set: KHPA has
	will not be able to report EPSDT data in 2007. EPSDT	decided to mirror the CMS expectation as it relates to
	Measurement objectives will be negotiated with the	Medicaid EPSDT participation levels.
	two new MCOs by June 2007 and our objectives will	
	be shared in the 2007 annual report.	
	Explain how these objectives were set: The state of	
	Kansas has contracted with two new MCOs to provide care to	
	Kansas members. The current MCO will no longer serve	
	HealthWave 21 members and will not provide 416 report in	
	2007. For this reason, Kansas will not be able to report	
	EPSDT data in 2007. EPSDT Measurement objectives will	
	be negotiated with the two new MCOs by June 2007 and our	
	objectives will be shared in the 2007 annual report.	
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
N/A Kansas has a seperate program.	N/A Kansas has a seperate program.	N/A Kansas has a seperate program.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Biscontinued. Expirum.	Biscontinued. Explain.	Biscontinued. Expirum.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
D (1) 1 1		
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Described what is being measured.	Described what is being measured.	Described what is being measured.
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the
	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	2005 Annual Report?	2005 Annual Report?
	And there are made incommon to the territory	And there are molten to an and the transfer of
	Are there any quality improvement activities that	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	contribute to your progress?	contribute to your progress:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
N/A Kansas has a seperate program.	N/A Kansas has a seperate program.	N/A Kansas has a seperate program.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of Formation Included in the Medical	Definition of Formation Included in the Freuguse	Definition of Population Included in the Nicabare.
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Described what is being measured.	Described what is being measured.	Described what is being measured.
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the
	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	2005 Annual Report?	2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that	Are there any quality improvement activities that
	contribute to your progress?	contribute to your progress?
	r Garage	v x

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Annual Performance Objective for FF 1 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FF 1 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
	Zinpram non mese objectives were sen	Zilpiani non inche objectives were sen
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
N/A Kansas has a seperate program.	N/A Kansas has a seperate program.	N/A Kansas has a seperate program.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
_ '	·	_ '
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of numerator.	Definition of numerator.	Definition of numerator.
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
		C
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the
	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	2005 Annual Report?	2005 Annual Report?
	2005 Annual Reports	2005 Amilian Keporti
	Are there any quality improvement activities that	Are there any quality improvement activities that
	contribute to your progress?	contribute to your progress?
	r-sg-ss-	F-19-1001

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Explain how these objectives were set:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
N/A Kansas does not use HEDIS for this measurement.	N/A Kansas does not use HEDIS for this measurement.	N/A Kansas does not use HEDIS for this measurement.
Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
N/A Kansas does not use HEDIS for this measurement.	N/A Kansas does not use HEDIS for this measurement.	N/A Kansas does not use HEDIS for this measurement.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Survey data. Specify: Other. Specify:	Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Survey data. Specify: Other. Specify:	Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Survey data. Specify: Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
N/A Kansas does not use HEDIS for this measurement.	N/A Kansas does not use HEDIS for this measurement.	N/A Kansas does not use HEDIS for this measurement.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
N/A Kansas does not use HEDIS for this measurement.	N/A Kansas does not use HEDIS for this measurement.	N/A Kansas does not use HEDIS for this measurement.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Survey data. Specify: Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes SCHIP population only. Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
N/A Kansas does not use HEDIS for this measurement.	N/A Kansas does not use HEDIS for this measurement.	N/A Kansas does not use HEDIS for this measurement.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Survey data. Specify: Other. Specify:	Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Survey data. Specify: Other. Specify:	Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Survey data. Specify: Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
N/A Kansas does not use HEDIS for this measurement.	N/A Kansas does not use HEDIS for this measurement.	N/A Kansas does not use HEDIS for this measurement.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
☐ Discontinued. Explain.	☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified: Other. Explain:	Explain how HEDIS was modified: Other. Explain:	Explain how HEDIS was modified: Other. Explain:
Пошет. Ехріаін:	Other. Explain.	□Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Auditional notes on incastic.	Auditional notes on measure.	Additional notes on measure.

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

1. What other strategies does your State use to measure and report on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? What have you found?

The State of Kansas utilizes many of the industry standard tools and techniques to measure the care provided to our HealthWave 21 beneficiaries. Some of these are the following: A CAHPS survey is completed annually, two Performance Improvement Projects are completed annually, and a Provider Satisfaction Survey is completed annually. All the above are completed by our contracted MCO and validated by our EQRO contractor. The state of Kansas also receives reports to help gauge the services being provided such as EPSDT and Immunizations, to name a few.

2. What strategies does your SCHIP program have for future measurement and reporting on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? When will data be available?

During 2008, Kansas will be expanding opportunities to compare SCHIP data to that of Medicaid, the State Employee Benefit Health Plan and data provided by private insurance companies providing care in Kansas. This data will be housed in a single data base to simplify comparing populations. It is felt this will be a valuable asset to KHPA and will help in improving care not only for SCHIP members, but for all Kansans.

3. Have you conducted any focused quality studies on your SCHIP population, e.g., adolescents, attention deficit disorder, substance abuse, special heath care needs or other emerging health care needs? What have you found?

As stated previously, during 2007 two new MCOs began caring for the SCHIP population. With this change, no focused studies were completed. Kansas looks to have information to report in 2008.

- 4. Please attach any additional studies, analyses or other documents addressing outreach, enrollment, access, quality, utilization, costs, satisfaction, or other aspects of your SCHIP program's performance. Please list attachments here and summarize findings or list main findings.
- 1) HealthWave Enrollment Graph (Jan 1999 to present)
- 2) Effects of Premium Increases on Enrollment in SCHIP: Findings from Three States
- 3) HW 21 Eligibility Review Report

Enter any Narrative text below [7500].

SECTION III: ASSESSMENT OF STATE PLAN AND PROGRAM OPERATION

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions

OUTREACH

How have you redirected/changed your outreach strategies during the reporting period? [7500]

The marketing and outreach budget was removed in 2006 in response to the demands of the citizenship and identity requirements from the DRA. Kansas is currently reviewing a "reimplementation" of marketing and outreach and hope to accomplish that with the 2008 Kansas Legislative session.

What methods have you found most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children (e.g., T.V., school outreach, word-of-mouth)? How have you measured effectiveness? Would you consider this a best practice? [7500]

As previously stated, Kansas is not currently performing any marketing or outreach activities.

Is your state targeting outreach to specific populations (e.g., minorities, immigrants, and children living in rural areas)? Have these efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness? [7500]

Kansas is not currently performing any marketing or outreach.

What percentage of children below 200 percent of the Federal poverty level (FPL) who are eligible for Medicaid or SCHIP have been enrolled in those programs? (Identify the data source used). [7500]

A routine source of data to address this question is not available. The most recent reliable and available data is from the Kansas Health Insitute study of 2001. This data suggests that about 80% of the target population of children under 200% of FPL(i.e. those without another source of coverage) were enrolled in Medicaid or SCHIP.

SUBSTITUTION OF COVERAGE (CROWD-OUT)

States with a separate child health program up to and including 200% of FPL must complete question 1.

Is your state's eligibility level up to and including 200 percent of	the FPL?
⊠Yes	
☐ No	
□ N/A	

If yes, if you have substitution prevention policies in place, please identify those strategies. [7500]

No crowd out prevention policies have been in place since Kansas received CMS approval to remove them in June of 2001. Kansas does collect systems data from the Kansas Medical Assistance Programs reporting the number of applications denied due to existing coverage. Medical Program cases include both SCHIP eligible and poverty level eligible Medicaid children. Denial for existing health insurance applies only to the HealthWave SCHIP applicants that have reported insurance coverage exists. This data is limited as the field used only allows for one denial code. There may be more applicants who could have insurance, but are coded as being denied for another reason.

States with a separate child health program above 200 through 250% of FPL must complete

All States must complete the following 3 questions

Describe how substitution of coverage is monitored and measured and how the State evaluates the effectiveness of its policies. [7500]

If yes, identify your substitution prevention provisions (waiting periods, etc.). [7500]

Kansas collects systems data from the Kansas Medical Assistance Programs which reports the number of applications denied due to existing coverage. Medical Program cases include both SCHIP eligible and poverty level eligible Medicaid children. Denial for existing health insurance applies only to the HealthWave SCHIP applicants. This data is limited as the field used only allows for one denial code. There may be more applicants who could have insurance, but are coded as being denied for another reason.

At the time of application, what percent of applicants are found to have insurance? [7500]

3.77% of all applicants during SFY 07 were denied due to the existence of other insurance. 17.11% of all SCHIP denials are for other insurance.

Describe the incidence of substitution. What percent of applicants drop group health plan coverage to enroll in SCHIP? **[7500]**

Data in this area is unreliable as it is tracked through self reporting by the applicant. The State of Kansas has no accurate method to report this data.

COORDINATION BETWEEN SCHIP AND MEDICAID

(This subsection should be completed by States with a Separate Child Health Program)

Do you have the same redetermination procedures to renew eligibility for Medicaid and SCHIP (e.g., the same verification and interview requirements)? Please explain. [7500]

Yes, all persons must complete a new application at their annual review period. There are no interview requirements. The only difference is that SCHIP members were initially required to present proof of citizenship and identity, but that requirement was removed in November 2006.

Please explain the process that occurs when a child's eligibility status changes from Medicaid to SCHIP and from SCHIP to Medicaid. Have you identified any challenges? If so, please explain. [7500]

Children in SCHIP, by State statute, are mandated to be in a capitated managed care program. The capitated managed care program in Medicaid and SCHIP are served by the same MCOs. It is a seamless transition to the families. Medicaid children in the western third of the state can choose between one MCO or enroll in a state ran PCCM program. The remaining 2/3 of the state, and the vast majority of the Medicaid population, can choose between the same two MCOs that serve SCHIP.

Are the same delivery systems (including provider networks) used in Medicaid and SCHIP? Please explain. [7500]

In the State of Kansas, the medical care is delivered through capitated managed care to the majority of Title 19 and all Title 21 beneficiaries. In the western third of the state, a PCCM model exists for TAF and PLE members to choose. Although there are slight differences between the networks, most providers are in all three models.

For states that do not use a joint application, please describe the screen and enroll process. **[7500]**. Kansas utilizes a joint application.

ELIGIBILITY REDETERMINATION AND RETENTION

What measures does your State employ to retain eligible children in SCHIP? Please check all that apply and provide descriptions as requested. Conducts follow-up with clients through caseworkers/outreach workers \boxtimes Sends renewal reminder notices to all families How many notices are sent to the family prior to disenrolling the child from the program? [500] 3 At what intervals are reminder notices sent to families (e.g., how many weeks before the end of the current eligibility period is a follow-up letter sent if the renewal has not been received by the State?) [500] A postcard is sent sixty days prior to coverage ending. At forty-five days a second letter and application are mailed to the family, then a closure letter is mailed fifteen days prior to the coverage end date. Sends targeted mailings to selected populations Please specify population(s) (e.g., lower income eligibility groups) [500] Holds information campaigns Provides a simplified reenrollment process,

Medicaid/SCHIP application) [500]

Please describe efforts (e.g., reducing the length of the application, creating combined

	Conducts surveys or focus groups with disenrollees to learn more about reasons for disenrollment please describe: [500]
	Other, please explain: [500]
	Thich of the above strategies appear to be the most effective? Have you evaluated the effectiveness my strategies? If so, please describe the evaluation, including data sources and methodology.
Se	ending notices has proven to be the most effective means of encouraging re-enrollment.
	hat percentage of children in the program are retained in the program at redetermination? What centage of children in the program are disenrolled at redetermination? [500]
in	or CY 2007, Kansas has currently denied 17.39% of all redeterminations, this percentage will crease when factoring in December 2007 denials. Compared to 2005 (20.48%) and 2006 (19.93%) is is within the Kansas norm.
indi	oes your State generate monthly reports or conduct assessments that track the outcomes of viduals who disenroll, or do not reenroll, in SCHIP (e.g., how many obtain other public or private erage, how many remain uninsured, how many age-out, how many move to a new geographic a)
	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ N/A
	When was the monthly report or assessment last conducted? [7500]

If you responded yes to the question above, please provide a summary of the most recent findings (in the table below) from these reports and/or assessments. [7500].

Findings from Report/Assessment on Individuals Who Disenroll, or Do Not Reenroll in SCHIP

Total Number of Dis- enrollees	Obtain other public or private coverage		Remain u	ninsured	Age-out		Move to n geograph		Other	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent

Please describe the data source (e.g., telephone or mail survey, focus groups) used to derive this information. Include the time period reflected in the data (e.g., calendar year, fiscal year, one month, etc.) [7500].

COST SHARING

Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of premiums/enrollment fees on participation in SCHIP? If so, what have you found? [7500]

Yes. Data was provided to the Kansas Health Institute for a three-state comparative evaluation of the impact of the 2004 premium increase. The project was directed by the Urban Institute and will be published in the journal Inquiry in 2007 under the title "Effects of Premium Increases on Enrollment in SCHIP: Findings from Three States".

Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of cost sharing on utilization of health services in SCHIP? If so, what have you found? [7500]

There are no co-pays or deductibles allowed with the HealthWave 21 program.

If your state has increased or decreased cost sharing in the past federal fiscal year, has the state undertaken any assessment of the impact of these changes on application, enrollment, disenrollment, and utilization of health services in SCHIP. If so, what have you found? [7500]

Kansas made no changes in the last year to the premiums for HealthWave 21.

EMPLOYER SPONSORED INSURANCE PROGRAM (INCLUDING PREMIUM ASSISTANCE PROGRAM(S)) UNDER THE SCHIP STATE PLAN OR A SECTION 1115 TITLE XXI **DEMONSTRATION**

Does your State offer an employer sponsored insurance program (including a premium assistant program) for children and/or adults using Title XXI funds? Yes, please answer questions below. No, skip to Program Integrity subsection.	е
Children	
Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.	
 ☐ Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan ☐ SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration ☐ Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstration ☐ Health Insurance Flexibility & Accountability Demonstration 	
Adults Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.	
 □ Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan □ SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration □ Health Insurance Flexibility & Accountability Demonstration □ Premium Assistance under the Medicaid State Plan (Section 1906 HIPP) 	
Please indicate which adults your State covers with premium assistance. (Check all that apply.) Parents and Caretaker Relatives Childless Adults Pregnant Women	

Briefly describe how your program operates (e.g., is your program an employer sponsored insurance program or a premium assistance program, how do you coordinate assistance between the state and/or employer, etc.) **[7500]**

What benefit package does the ESI program use? [7500]
Are there any minimum coverage requirements for the benefit package? [7500]
Does the program provide wrap-around coverage for benefits or cost sharing? [7500]
Are there any limits on cost sharing for children in your ESI program? Are there any limits on cost sharing for adults in your ESI program? [7500]
3. Identify the total number of children and adults enrolled in the ESI program for whom Title XXI funds are used during the reporting period (provide the number of adults enrolled in this program even if they were covered incidentally, i.e., not explicitly covered through a demonstration).
Number of childless adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
Number of adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
Number of children ever-enrolled during the reporting period
9. Identify the estimated amount of substitution, if any, that occurred or was prevented as a result of your employer sponsored insurance program (including premium assistance program). Discuss how was this measured? [7500]
10. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your ESI program has experienced? [7500]
11. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your ESI program? [7500]
12. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your ESI program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. [7500]

13. What do you estimate is the impact of your ESI program (including premium assistance) on enrollment and retention of children? How was this measured? [7500]
14. Identify the total state expenditures for providing coverage under your ESI program during the reporting period. (For states offering premium assistance under a family coverage waiver or for states offering employer sponsored insurance or premium assistance under a demonstration.) [7500]
15. Provide the average amount each entity pays towards coverage of the beneficiary under your ESI program:
State:
Employer:
Employee:
16. If you offer a premium assistance program, what, if any, is the minimum employer contribution? [500]
17. Do you have a cost effectiveness test that you apply in determining whether an applicant can receive coverage (e.g., the state's share of a premium assistance payment must be less than or equal to the cost of covering the applicant under SCHIP or Medicaid)? [7500]
18. Is there a required period of uninsurance before enrolling in your program? If yes, what is the period of uninsurance? [500]
19. Do you have a waiting list for your program? Can you cap enrollment for your program? [500]
PROGRAM INTEGRITY (COMPLETE ONLY WITH REGARD TO SEPARATE SCHIP PROGRAMS (I.E. THOSE THAT ARE NOT MEDICAID EXPANSIONS)
Does your state have a written plan that has safeguards and establishes methods and procedures
for:
(1) prevention
(2) investigation (3) referral of cases of fraud and abuse?
(a) Totalial of odood of fidda and abado.

Please explain: [7500]

For the reporting period, please indicate the number of cases investigated, and cases referred, regarding fraud and abuse in the following areas:

SECTION IV: PROGRAM FINANCING FOR STATE PLAN

1. Please complete the following table to provide budget information. Describe in narrative any details of your planned use of funds below, including the assumptions on which this budget was based (per member/per month rate, estimated enrollment and source of non-Federal funds). (Note: This reporting period = Federal Fiscal Year 2007. If you have a combination program you need only submit one budget; programs do not need to be reported separately.)

COST OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN

Benefit Costs	2007	2008	2009	
Insurance payments				
Managed Care	49136206	53035989	56748508	
Fee for Service	8595499	9277696	9927135	
Total Benefit Costs	57731705	62313685	66675643	
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)	-1696179	-1830800	-1958956	
Net Benefit Costs	\$ 56035526	\$ 60482885	\$ 64716687	

Administration Costs

Personnel			
General Administration	5543688	5983673	6402530
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)			
Claims Processing			
Outreach/Marketing costs	_		
Other (e.g., indirect costs)			
Health Services Initiatives			
Total Administration Costs	5543688	5983673	6402530
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	6226170	6720321	7190743

Federal Title XXI Share	44447877	47590056	51248508
State Share	17131337	18876502	19870709

TOTAL COSTS OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN	61579214	66466558	71119217

State appropriations	?
County/local funds	

	Employer contributions
	Foundation grants
	Private donations
\boxtimes	Tobacco settlement
	Other (specify) [500]

3. Did you experience a short fall in SCHIP funds this year? If so, what is your analysis for why there were not enough Federal SCHIP funds for your program? [1500]

No.

4. In the table below, enter 1) number of eligibles used to determine per member per month costs for the current year and estimates for the next two years; and, 2) per member per month cost rounded to a whole number. If you have SCHIP enrollees in a fee for service program, per member per month cost will be the average cost per month to provide services to these enrollees.

	20	07	20	08	20	009
	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM
Managed Care	35136	\$ 136	36717	\$ 141	38369	\$ 144
Fee for Service	18	\$ 972	19	\$ 972	20	\$ 972

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

Although dental services are provided on a fee for service basis, the majority of the services for all regular SCHIP children are provided under a managed care arrangement, those eligibles are counted in that category, and their fee for service costs are added to the capitation costs for the PMPM calculation. The only children in the FFS category are those presumptively eligible, with full FFS coverage. Total PE costs were approximately \$210,000 for FFY 2007.

SECTION V: 1115 DEMONSTRATION WAIVERS (FINANCED BY SCHIP)

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions.

If you do not have a Demonstration Waiver financed with SCHIP funds skip to Section VI. If you do, please complete the following table showing whom you provide coverage to.

	SCHIP Non-HIFA Demonstration Eligibility HIFA Waiver Demonstration Eligibil					ation Eligibility		
		* Upper % of FPL are defined as Up to and Including						
Children	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *		
Parents	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *		
Childless Adults	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *		
Pregnant Women	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *		

	tal number of children and adults ever enrolled (an unduplicated enrollment count) in your ration during the reporting period.
	Number of children ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
	Number of parents ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
	Number of pregnant women ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
	Number of childless adults ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
of children? You	rou found about the impact of covering adults on enrollment, retention, and access to care a are required to evaluate the effectiveness of your demonstration project, so report here made in this evaluation, specifically as it relates to enrollment, retention, and access to [1000]

Please provide budget information in the following table for the years in which the demonstration is approved. Note: This reporting period (Federal Fiscal Year 2007 starts 10/1/06 and ends 9/30/07).

COST PROJECTIONS OF DEMONSTRATION (SECTION 1115 or HIFA)	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #1 (e.g., children)					
Insurance Payments					
Managed care per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles					
Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service					
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #1					

Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #2

(e.g., parents)			
Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate for managed care			
Fee for Service			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #2			
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3			
(e.g., pregnant women)		•	
Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate for managed care			
Fee for Service			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3			
Panalit Coata for Domanatustian Banulation #4			
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4			
(e.g., childless adults)			
Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate for managed care			
Fee for Service			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3			
Tatal Barratit Ocata			
Total Benefit Costs			
(Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)			
Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting			
Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)			
Administration Costs			
Personnel			
General Administration			
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)			
Claims Processing			
Outreach/Marketing costs			
Other (specify)			
Total Administration Costs			
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)			
Federal Title XXI Share			
State Share			

When was your budget last updated (please include month, day and year)? [500]

TOTAL COSTS OF DEMONSTRATION

Please provide a descri	ption of any assumi	ptions that are include	ed in your	r calculations.	5001

Other notes relevant to the budget: [7500]

SECTION VI: PROGRAM CHALLENGES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

For the reporting period, please provide an overview of your state's political and fiscal environment as it relates to health care for low income, uninsured children and families, and how this environment impacted SCHIP. [7500]

Kansas is looking to the future health of the citizens of Kansas, and Governor Kathleen Sebelius is a strong advocate for Health Care reform. Within the 2007 legislative session, Senate Bill 11 was authorized to provide Premium Assistance to the parents of children between 36 – 100% Federal Poverty Level. This coverage will be actuarially equivalent to the State Employee Health Plan and will provide an avenue for the payment of much needed medical care for adults and a medical home to Kansas Medicaid families. Kansas feels this program will produce long term benefits to the overall health of Kansas residents and will benefit SCHIP as families move out of Medicaid and into SCHIP and private markets with an emphasis on and better understanding of the importance of health care.

During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your program has experienced? **[7500]**

Kansas, like all other states in the nation, is closely following the national debate revolving around the reauthorization of the SCHIP program. Kansas is confident the program will be reauthorized and hopeful this will allow for continued growth in the program. This uncertainty over the reauthorization of the SCHIP program is a challenge when planning health reform options.

During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your program? [7500]

January 1, 2007, Kansas converted from a single MCO to a multiple MCO model. With this change Kansas secured contracts with two new Managed Care Organizations, UniCare Health Plan of Kansas and Children's Mercy Family Health Partners, to provide care to SCHIP members. This has allowed members choice in the managed care company that provides their care, and at the same time allows for a competitive marketplace.

Following the Deficit Reduction Act, the Kansas SCHIP population dipped approximately 3,000 members due to the citizenship and identity requirements. Kansas utilizes a highly efficient and cetralized eligibility process for both Title XIX and SCHIP. Though the citizenship and identity requirements were lifted for Title XXI, the continued requirement on the Title XIX members created a spill over effect on SCHIP. The eligibility clearinghouse has worked diligently in the past year and SCHIP recently returned to the enrollment level seen in June 2006. During this 18 month time period, marketing and outreach were temporarily suspended. These efforts are expected to resume in the near future, and Kansas hopes to regain strides that were lost in the effort to provide health care to uninsured Kansans.

What changes have you made or are planning to make in your SCHIP program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. [7500]

Within the next fiscal year, Kansas intends to once again focus on marketing and outreach. To compliment this effort, Kansas is looking to contract for a new web-based eligibility determination system. This will allow for better reporting capability, more efficient use of staff time, and provide a more nimble structure upon which program expansion can be developed.

As the direction of SCHIP on the national level has been much debated in the last year, Kansas has taken a "wait and see" approach to the SCHIP program. When funding, coverage level, and program direction have been addressed nationally, Kansas will assess the landscape for possible improvements to the program.

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]